

LIFTING OF THE AUTOMORPHISM GROUP OF POLYNOMIAL ALGEBRAS

ALEXEI BELOV-KANEL AND JIE-TAI YU

ABSTRACT. Let K be an arbitrary field. The main results of the paper are

Theorem 1.2. *Any Ind-scheme automorphism φ of $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ for $n \geq 3$ is inner.*

Theorem 3.3. *Any Ind-scheme automorphism φ of $K\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$ for $n \geq 3$ is semi-inner.*

Theorem 1.1. *There exists no subgroup H of $\text{Aut}(K\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle)$ such that $\text{Aut}(K[x_1, \dots, x_n])$ is isomorphic to H for $n > 2$ induced by the abelinization.*

We also establish Bialickii-Birula theorem for free algebras:

Theorem 3.1. *Any effective action of torus $\mathbb{T}^n$ on $\mathbb{K}\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$ is linearizable. That is, it is conjugated to a standard one.*

1. Introduction and main results

In 2004, the famous Nagata conjecture over a field K of characteristic 0 was proved by Shestakov and Umirbaev [22, 23] and a stronger version of the conjecture was proved by Umirbaev and Yu [25]. That is, let K be a field of characteristic zero. Every wild $K[z]$ -automorphism (wild $K[z]$ -coordinate) of $K[z][x, y]$ is wild viewed as a K -automorphism (K -coordinate) of $K[x, y, z]$. In particular, the Nagata automorphism $(x - 2y(y^2 + xz) - (y^2 + xz)^2z, y + (y^2 + xz)z, z)$ (Nagata coordinates $x - 2y(y^2 + xz) - (y^2 + xz)^2z$ and $y + (y^2 + xz)z$) is (are) wild. In [25], a related question was raised:

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 13S10, 16S10. Secondary 13F20, 14R10, 16W20, 16Z05.

Key words and phrases. Automorphisms, polynomial algebras, free associative algebras, lifting problem, tame and wild automorphisms, coordinates, Nagata conjecture, linearization.

The research of Jie-Tai Yu was partially supported by an RGC-GRF Grant.

The lifting problem. Whether or not a wild automorphism (wild coordinate) of the polynomial algebra $K[x, y, z]$ over a field K can be lifted to an automorphism (coordinate) of the free associative $K\langle x, y, z \rangle$?

In this paper we prove that the automorphism group of free associative algebra over an arbitrary field K cannot be isomorphic to any subgroup of automorphism group of polynomial algebra induced by the natural abelianization.

Theorem 1.1. *Let K be an arbitrary field, $G = \text{Aut}(K[x_1, \dots, x_n])$ and $n > 2$. Then G cannot be isomorphic to any subgroup H of $\text{Aut}(K\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle)$ induced by the natural abelianization.*

This theorem is obtained as a consequence of a systematic study for the structure of automorphism group.

Theorem 1.2. *Any Ind-scheme automorphism φ of $\text{Aut}(K[x_1, \dots, x_n])$ for $n \geq 3$ is inner, i.e. is a conjugation via some automorphism.*

Theorem 1.3. *Any Ind-scheme automorphism φ of $\text{Aut}(K\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle)$ for $n \geq 3$ is semi-inner (see definition 2.11).*

For the group of automorphisms of semigroup the similar results on set-theoretical level were obtained previously by A.Belov, R.Lipyanskii and I.Berzinsh [3, 2]. All these questions (including $\text{Aut}(\text{Aut})$ investigations) are closely related to Universal Algebraic Geometry and were purposed by B.Plotkin. Equivalence of two algebras have same generalized identities and isomorphism of first order means semmi-inner properties of automorphisms (see [3, 2] for details).

1.1. Ind-schemes and Approximation.

Definition 1.4. An Ind-variety M is a direct limit of algebraic varieties $M = \varinjlim M_1 \subseteq M_2 \dots$. An Ind-scheme is an Ind-variety which is a group such that group inversion is a morphism $M_i \rightarrow M_{j(i)}$, and the group multiplication induces a morphism from $M_i \times M_j$ to $M_{k(i,j)}$. A map φ is a *morphism* of Ind-variety M to Ind-variety N , if $\varphi(M_i) \subseteq N_{j(i)}$ and restriction φ on M_i is morphism for all i . *Monomorphism, epimorphism and isomorphism* can be defined similarly in the natural way.

Example. Let M be the group of automorphisms of an affine space, and M_j be set of all automorphisms in M with degree $\leq j$.

There is interesting

Question. *Investigate growth function on Ind-varieties. For example, dimension of varieties of polynomial automorphisms of degree $\leq n$.*

For example, coincidence of growth functions for $\text{Aut}(W_n)$ and $\text{Sympl}(\mathbb{C}^{2n})$ imply Kontsevich-Belov conjecture.

It is natural to approximate automorphisms by tame ones. There exists such approximation up to terms of any order not only in the situation of polynomial automorphisms, but also for automorphisms of Weil algebra, symplectomorphisms etc. However, naive approach fails.

It is known that $\text{Aut}(W_1) \equiv \text{Aut}_1(K[x, y])$ where Aut_1 means the jacobian is one. However, considerations from [20] shows that Lie algebra of the first group is derivations of W_1 and hence has no identities apart ones which have free Lie algebra, another consistant of vector fields with divergent to zero and has polynomial identity. They cannot be isomorphic [5, 4]. In other words, this group has two coordinate system non-smooth with respect to each other. The group $\text{Aut}(W_n)$ can be embedded into $\text{Sympl}(\mathbb{C}^{2n})$, for any n . But Lie algebra $\text{Der}(W_n)$ has no polynomial identities apart ones which have free Lie algebra, another consistant of vector fields preserving symplectic form and has polynomial identity. (In the paper [20] functionals on $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$ were considered in order to define Lie algebra structure. It is not quite clear, why these spaces have non-zero limit.)

In his remarkable paper, Yu. Bodnarchuk [11] established Theorem 1.2 by using the Shafarevich results for tame automorphism group and for case when automorphism of Ind-scheme is regular in following sense: sent polynomials on coordinate functions (coordinate – coefficient before corresponding monomial) to polynomial coordinate functions. In this case tame approximation works (as well as for the symplectic case as well). For this case his method is similar to ours, but we display it for reader convenience and also to treat free associative case. But

in general case – for regular functions, if the approximation via Shafarevich approach is correct, then the Kontsevich-Belov conjecture (for isomorphism between $\text{Aut}(W_n)$ and $\text{Sympl}(K^n)$) would follow easily.

We have to mention also the very recent paper of H. Kraft and I. Stampfli [16]. They show that every automorphism of the group $\mathcal{G}_n := \text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^n)$ of polynomial automorphisms of complex affine n -space $\mathbb{A}^n = \mathbb{C}^n$ is inner up to field automorphisms when restricted to the subgroup $T\mathcal{G}_n$ of tame automorphisms. This generalizes a result of J.Deserti [12] who proved this for dimension two where all automorphisms are tame: $T\mathcal{G}_2 = \mathcal{G}_2$. Note that we also establish these results for free associative case. We always treat dimension more than two.

We do not assume regularity in the sense of [11] but only assume that restriction on any subvariety is a morphism. Note that morphisms of Ind-schemes $\text{Aut}(W_n) \rightarrow \text{Sympl}(\mathbb{C}^{2n})$ has this property, but not regular in the sense of Bodnarchuk [11].

In order to make approximation work, we use the idea of singularity which allows us to prove the augmentation group structure preserving, so approximation works in the case (not in all situations, in a much more complicated way).

Consider the isomorphism $\text{Aut}(W_1) \cong \text{Aut}_1(K[x, y])$. It has some strange property. Let us add a small parameter t . Then an element arbitrary close to zero with respect to t^k does not go to zero arbitrarily, so it is impossible to make tame limit! There is a sequence of convergent product of elementary automorphisms, which is not convergent under this isomorphism. Exactly same situation happens for W_n . These effects cause problems in quantum field theory.

Note that the $\text{Aut}(\text{Aut})$ issue comes from the proof of independent choice of an infinite large prime in order to construct an homomorphism from $\text{Aut}(W_n) \rightarrow \text{Sympl}(\mathbb{C}^n)$. (One way using one prime, another way using another then compare). We want to propose the following

Conjecture. *All automorphisms of $\text{Sympl}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ as Ind-scheme are inner.*

The same conjecture can be proposed for $\text{Aut}(W_n)$.

2. THE AUTOMORPHISM OF AUTOMORPHISMS GROUP

We need the following theorem of Byalickii-Birula [10, 9]:

Theorem 2.1 (Byalickii-Birula). *Any effective action of torus $\mathbb{T}^n$ on $\mathbb{C}^n$ is linearizable. That is, it is conjugated to a standard one.*

Remark. An effective action of $\mathbb{T}^{n-1}$ on $\mathbb{C}^n$ is linearizable [10, 9]. There is a conjecture whether an action of $\mathbb{T}^{n-2}$ on $\mathbb{C}^n$ is linearizable, established for $n = 3$. For codimensions more than 2, counterexamples were constructed [1].

Consider the standard action of torus T^n on C^n : $x_i \rightarrow \lambda_i x_i$, let H be the image of T^n under φ . Then by Theorem 2.1, H is conjugated to the standard torus via some automorphism ψ . Composing φ with conjugation with respect to ψ , we come to the case when φ is the identity on the maximal torus. Then we have the following

Corollary 2.2. *Without loss of generality it is enough to prove Theorem 1.2 for the case when $\varphi|_{\mathbb{T}} = \text{Id}$.*

Now we are in the situation when φ preserves all linear mappings $x_i \rightarrow \lambda_i x_i$. We have to prove that it is identity.

Proposition 2.3 (E.Rips, private communication). *Let $n > 2$ and let φ preserves the standard torus action for a free associative algebra or a polynomial algebra. Then φ preserves all elementary transformations.*

Corollary 2.4. *Let φ satisfies the conditions of the proposition 2.3. Then φ preserves all tame automorphisms.*

Proof of Proposition 2.3. We need several lemmas.

Lemma 2.5. *Consider the diagonal $\mathbb{T}^1$ action: $x_i \rightarrow \lambda x_i$. Then the set of automorphisms commuting with this action is exactly linear automorphisms.*

Lemma 2.6. *Consider the following $\mathbb{T}^2$ action: $x_1 \rightarrow \lambda \delta x_1$, $x_2 \rightarrow \lambda x_2$, $x_3 \rightarrow \delta x_3$, $x_i \rightarrow x_i$, $i > 3$. Then the set S of automorphisms commuting with this action generated with following automorphisms $x_1 \rightarrow x_1 + \beta \cdot x_2 x_3$, $x_i \rightarrow \varepsilon_i x_i$, $i > 1$, $(\alpha, \beta, \varepsilon \in K)$.*

Remark. The similar statement for free associative case is true, but one has to consider the set $\hat{S}$ of automorphisms $x_1 \rightarrow x_1 + \beta \cdot x_2x_3 + \gamma x_3x_2, x_i \rightarrow \varepsilon_i x_i, i > 1, (\alpha, \beta, \varepsilon \in K)$.

Lemma 2.7. *Consider the following $\mathbb{T}^1$ action: $x_1 \rightarrow \lambda^2 x_1, x_2 \rightarrow \lambda x_2, x_i \rightarrow x_i, i \neq 1, 2$. Then the set S of automorphisms commuting with this action generated with following automorphisms $x_1 \rightarrow x_1 + \beta \cdot x_2^2, x_i \rightarrow \lambda_i x_i, i > 2, (\beta, \lambda_i \in K)$.*

Lemma 2.8. *Consider the set S defined in the previous lemma. Then $[S, S] = \{uvu^{-1}v^{-1}\}$ consists of the following automorphisms $x_1 \rightarrow x_1 + \beta \cdot x_2x_3, x_2 \rightarrow x_2, x_3 \rightarrow x_3, (\beta \in K)$.*

Lemma 2.9. *Let $n \geq 3$. Consider the following set of automorphisms $\psi_i : x_i \rightarrow x_i + \beta_i x_{i+1}x_{i+2}, x_k = x_k, k \neq i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. (Numeration is cyclic, so for example $x_{n+1} = x_1$). Let $\beta_i \neq 0$ for all i . Then all of ψ_i simultaneously conjugated by torus action to $\psi'_i : x_i \rightarrow x_i + x_{i+1}x_{i+2}, x_k = x_k, k \neq i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ in a unique way.*

Remark. In free associative case, instead of βx_2x_3 one has to consider $\beta x_2x_3 + \gamma x_3x_2$.

Lemma 2.10 (Rips). *Torus $\mathbb{T}$ and ψ'_i generate all tame automorphism group.*

Proposition 2.3 follows from Lemmas 2.5, 2.6, 2.7, 2.8, 2.9, 2.10.

Note that we have proved analogue of the theorem 1.2 for tame automorphisms.

Now consider the case of free associative algebra.

Definition 2.11. Antiautomorphism of free associative algebra A is *mirror* if it sends $x_i x_j$ to $x_j x_i$ for some fixed i and j . Automorphism of $\text{Aut}(A)$ is *semi-inner* if it can be obtained as a composition of an inner automorphism and conjugation by a mirror antiautomorphism.

For free associative algebra, we note that any automorphism preserving torus action preserves also symmetric $x_1 \rightarrow x_1 + \beta(x_2x_3 + x_3x_2), x_i \rightarrow x_i, i > 1$ and skew symmetric $x_1 \rightarrow x_1 + \beta(x_2x_3 -$

x_3x_2), $x_i \rightarrow x_i, i > 1$ elementary automorphisms. First property follows from Lemma 2.7. Second follows from the fact that skew symmetric automorphisms commute with automorphisms of following type $x_2 \rightarrow x_2 + x_3^2, x_i \rightarrow x_i, i \neq 2$ and this property define them among elementary automorphisms of the type $x_1 \rightarrow x_1 + \beta x_2x_3 + \gamma x_3x_2, x_i \rightarrow x_i, i > 1$.

Theorem 1.3 follows from the fact that only forms $\beta x_2x_3 + \gamma x_3x_2$ corresponding to multiplication preserving the associative law when either $\beta = 0$ or $\gamma = 0$ and the approximation issue (see section 2.1).

2.1. The approximation issue. Now we have to use approximations. This is most important tool of the paper. In order to do it we have to prove that φ preserves structure of augmentation subgroups. We treat here affine case. For symplectomorphisms situation is more complicate.

Theorem 2.12. $\varphi(H_n) \subseteq H_n$ where H_n is subgroup of elements identity modulo ideal $(x_1, \dots, x_k)^n$

Corollary 2.13. $\varphi = \text{Id}$.

Proof. Every automorphism can be approximated via tame ones. i.e. for any ψ and any n there exists a tame automorphism ψ'_n such that $\psi\psi_n'^{-1} \in H_n$.

In fact this theorem implies group none lifting, because elementary actions determine a coordinates and we have an approximations.

So the main point is **Why** $\varphi(H_n) \subseteq H_n$.

Proof of the Theorem 2.12. Consider matrix $A(t)$ dependent on parameter t such that eigenvalues are t^{n_i} and $n_i k \leq n_j$. $\varphi(A(t)) = A(t)$, because φ preserves linear transformations.

Definition 2.14. The ideal I generated by variables x_i is the *augmentation ideal*. The *augmentation subgroup* H_n is group of all automorphisms φ such that $\varphi(x_i) \equiv x_i \pmod{I^n}$. The set $G_n \supset H_n$ is a group of automorphisms whose linear part is scalar, and $\varphi(x_i) \equiv \lambda x_i \pmod{I^n}$ (λ does not dependant on i).

This follows from the next two lemmas.

Lemma 2.15. *Let M be an automorphism of free associative-commutative algebra. Then $A(t)MA(t)^{-1}$ has no singularities i.e. is affine curve for $t = 0$ for any $A(t)$ with property*

$A(t)$ dependent on parameter t such that eigenvalues are t^{n_i} and $n_i k \leq n_j$ ()*

iff $M \in \hat{H}_n$ where $\hat{H}_n$ is homothety modulo the augmentation ideal.

Proof. The ‘If’ part is obvious, because the sum $\sum_{j=1}^k n_{i_j}$ is greater than n_m and homothety commutes with linear map hence conjugation of the homothety via linear map is itself.

We have to prove that if linear part of φ does not satisfy condition (*) then $A(t)MA(t)^{-1}$ has a singularity in $t = 0$.

Case 1. The linear part $\bar{M}$ of M is not a scalar matrix. Then after basis change it is not a diagonal matrix and has a non zero coefficient in i, j position E_{ij} . Consider diagonal matrix $A(t) = D(t)$ such that on all position on main diagonal except j -th it has t^{n_i} and on j -th position t^{n_j} . Then $D(t)\bar{M}D^{-1}(t)$ has (i, j) entry with coefficient $\lambda t^{n_i - n_j}$ and if $n_j > n_i$ it has singularity at $t = 0$.

Let also $n_i < 2n_j$. Then non-linear part of M does not produce singularity and can not compensate with linear part singularity so we are done in the case 1.

Case 2. The linear part $\bar{M}$ of M is a scalar matrix. Then conjugation of linear part can not produce singularities and we are interested just in smallest non linear term. Let $\varphi \in H_k \setminus H_{k+1}$. Due to linear base exchange we can assume that $\varphi(x_1) = \lambda \cdot x_1 + \delta x_2^k + S$, where S is sum of monomials of degree $\geq k$ different from x_2^k with coefficients in K .

Let $A(t) = D(t)$ be a diagonal matrix of the form $(t^{n_1}, t^{n_2}, t^{n_1}, \dots, t^{n_1})$. Let $(k+1) \cdot n_2 > n_1 > k \cdot n_2$. Then in $A^{-1}MA$ term δx_2^k will be transformed in $\delta x_2^k t^{kn_2 - n_1}$ all other terms produce power $t^{ln_2 + sn_1 - n_1}$ such that $(l, s) \neq (1, 0), l, s > 0$. In this case $ln_2 + sn_1 - n_1 > 0$ and we are done with the proof of Lemma 2.15.

The next lemma can be proved by concrete calculations:

Lemma 2.16.

a) $[G_n, G_n] \subset H_n$. There exist elements $\varphi \in H_n \setminus H_{n+1}, \psi_1, \psi_2 \in G_n$, such that $\varphi = [\psi_1, \psi_2]$.

b) $[H_n, H_k] \subset H_{n+k-1}$.

c) Let $\varphi \in G_n \setminus H_n$, $\psi \in H_k \setminus H_{k+1}$, $k > n$. Then $[\varphi, \psi] \in H_k \setminus H_{k+1}$.

Corollary 2.17. *Let $\Psi \in \text{Aut}(\text{Aut}(K[x_1, \dots, x_n]))$. Then $\Psi(G_n) = G_n$, $\Psi(H_n) = H_n$.*

Corollary 2.17 and Proposition 2.3 imply Theorem 2.12 because every automorphism can be approximated via tame ones.

3. LIFTING OF THE AUTOMORPHISM GROUP

Theorem 3.1. *Any effective action of torus $\mathbb{T}^n$ on $\mathbb{K}\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$ is linearizable. That is, it is conjugated to a standard one.*

Proof. Similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1.

As a consequence of the above theorem, we get

Proposition 3.2. *Let T^n be standard torus action. Let $\hat{T}^n$ its lifting to automorphism group of the free algebra. Then $\hat{\mathbb{T}}^n$ is also standard torus action.*

Proof. Consider the roots $\hat{x}_i$ of this action. They are liftings of the coordinates x_i . We have to prove that they generate the whole associative algebra.

Due to the reducibility of this action, all elements are product of eigenvalues of this action. Hence it is enough to prove that eigenvalues of this action can be presented as linear combination of this action. This can be done like Byalitsky Birula paper [10]. Note that all propositions of previous section holds for free associative algebra. Hence we have the following

Theorem 3.3. *Any Ind-scheme automorphism φ of $\text{Aut}(K\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle)$ for $n \geq 3$ is inner, i.e. is a conjugation via some automorphism.*

Hence the group lifting (under the sense of isomorphism induced by the natural abelianization) implies the analogue of Theorem 2.12.

This also implies that the group lifting satisfies the approximation properties.

Proposition 3.4. *Let $H = \text{Aut}(K[x_1, \dots, x_n])$, $G = \text{Aut}(K\langle z_1, \dots, z_n \rangle)$. Suppose $\Psi : H \rightarrow G$ be a group homomorphism such that its composition with natural projection is the identity map. Then*

- (1) *After some coordinate change ψ provide correspondence between standard torus actions $x_i \rightarrow \lambda_i x_i$ and $z_i \rightarrow \lambda_i z_i$.*
- (2) *Images of elementary automorphisms*

$$x_j \rightarrow x_j, j \neq i, \quad x_i \rightarrow x_i + f(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x}_i, \dots, x_n)$$

are elementary automorphisms of the form

$$z_j \rightarrow z_j, j \neq i, \quad z_i \rightarrow z_i + f(z_1, \dots, \widehat{z}_i, \dots, z_n).$$

(Hence image of tame automorphism is tame automorphism).

- (3) *$\psi(H_n) = G_n$. Hence ψ induces map between completion of the groups of H and G respect to augmentation subgroup structure.*

Proof of Theorem 1.1

Any automorphism, including the Nagata automorphism can be approximated via product of elementary automorphisms with respect to augmentation topology. In the case of the Nagata automorphism corresponding to

$$\text{Aut}(K\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle),$$

all such elementary automorphisms fix all coordinates except x_1, x_2 . Due to (2) and (3) of Proposition 3.4, the lifted automorphism would be an automorphism induced by automorphism of $K\langle x_1, x_2, x_3 \rangle$ fixing z_3 . However, it is impossible to lift the Nagata automorphism to such an automorphism due to the main result of [6]. Therefore, Theorem 1.1 is proved.

4. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to thank to J.P. Furter, T. Kambayashi, R.Lipyanski, Boris Plotkin, Eugeny Plotkin, Andrey Regeta, Eliahu Rips and M. Zaidenberg for stimulating discussion.

REFERENCES

- [1] T.Asanuma, *Non-linearizable k^* -actions in affine space*. Invent. Math., 138 (1999) No 2, 281–306
- [2] A.Belov and R.Lipyanskii, *Automorphisms of Endomorphism group of free associative-commutative algebra over an arbitrary field*, J. Algebra **333** (2010) 40–54.
- [3] A.Belov, A.Berzins, and R.Lipiansky *Automorphisms of endomorphism group of free associative algebra*. Int. J. Algebra Comp. **17** (2007) 923–939.
- [4] A. Belov and M.L. Kontsevich, *Jacobian and Dixmier Conjectures are stably equivalent*. Moscow Math. J. **7** (2007)209–218 (A special volume dedicated to the 60-th anniversary of A.G.Khovanskii).
- [5] A. Belov and M.L. Kontsevich, *Automorphisms of Weyl algebras*, Lett. Math. Phys. **74** (2005) 34–41 (A special volume dedicated to the memory of F.A. Berezin).
- [6] A.Belov-Kanel and Jie-Tai Yu, *On the lifting of the Nagata automorphism*, **17** (2011) Sel. Math. (N.S.) 935–945.
- [7] G.M. Bergman, *Conjugates and n th roots in Hahn-Laurent group rings*, Bull. Malay. Math. Soc. **1** (1978) 29–41.
- [8] G.M. Bergman, *Historical addendum to: “Conjugates and n th roots in Hahn-Laurent group rings”*, Bull. Malay. Math. Soc. **1** (1978) 29–41; **2** (1979) 41–42.
- [9] A. Bialynicki-Birula, *Remarks on the action of an algebraic torus on k^n* II, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Ser. Sci. Math. Astro. Phys. **15** (1967) 123–125.
- [10] A. Bialynicki-Birula, *Remarks on the action of an algebraic torus on k^n* , Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Ser. Sci. Math. Astro. Phys. **14** (1966) 177–181.
- [11] Yu.Bodnarchuk, *Every regular automorphism of the affine Cremona group is inner*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 157 (2001) 115–119.
- [12] Julie Deserti, *Sur le groupe des automorphismes polynomiaux du plan affine*, J. Algebra 297 (2006), no. 2, 584–599.
- [13] V.Drensky and Jie-Tai Yu, *The strong Anick conjecture is true*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 9 (2007) 659–679.
- [14] T.Kambayashi, *Inverse limits of polynomial rings*, Osaka J. Math. **41** (2004) 617–624.
- [15] T. Kambayashi, *Some basic results on pro-affine algebras and ind-affine schemes*, Osaka J. Math. **40** (2003) 621–638.
- [16] Hanspeter Kraft, Immanuel Stampfli *On Automorphisms of the Affine Cremona Group*. arXiv:1105.3739v1.
- [17] L. Makar-Limanov and Jie-Tai Yu, *Degree estimate for subalgebras generated by two elements*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **10** (2008) 533–541.
- [18] Yun-Chang Li and Jie-Tai Yu, *Degree estimate for subalgebras*, J.Algebra **362** (2012) 92–98.

- [19] L. Makar-Limanov, *Algebraically closed skew fields*, J. Algebra **93** (1985) 117–135.
- [20] I.R. Shafarevich, *On some infinitely dimensional groups II*, Izv. Akad. Sci. Ser. Math. **2** (1981) 214–216.
- [21] M.K. Smith, *Stably tame automorphisms*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, **58** (1989) 209–212.
- [22] I.P. Shestakov and U.U. Umirbaev, *Degree estimate and two-generated subalgebras of rings of polynomials*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **17** (2004) 181–196.
- [23] I.P. Shestakov and U.U. Umirbaev, *The tame and the wild automorphisms of polynomial rings in three variables*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **17** (2004) 197–220.
- [24] M. Suzuki, *Propriétés topologiques des polynômes de deux variables complexes, et automorphismes algébriques de l'espace C^2* (French), J. Math. Soc. Japan **26** (1974) 241–257.
- [25] U.U. Umirbaev and Jie-Tai Yu, *The strong Nagata conjecture*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **101** (2004) 4352–4355.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BAR-ILAN UNIVERSITY, RAMAT-GAN 52900, ISRAEL

E-mail address: beloval@cs.biu.ac.il, kanelster@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG, HONG KONG SAR, CHINA

E-mail address: yujt@hku.hk, yujietai@yahoo.com